

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,513	03/10/2004	Brian S. Higgins	7340-011	4226
4678 MACCORD M	7590 10/26/200 IASON PLI C	EXAMINER		
300 N. GREENE STREET, SUITE 1600			SUERETH, SARAH ELIZABETH	
P. O. BOX 297 GREENSBOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Orani (Dio)(0,110 27 102		3749	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,513	HIGGINS, BRIAN S.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sarah Suereth	3749		

	Sarah Suereth	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 A he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.13(6), 1 The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (See 37 CER 1116 and 11 33(a))	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplicate vitrorication (102 024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	•	
7. \(\bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for	allowance
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
 Other: The amended claims have been only amended to remains the same. 	fix grammatical errors, and the bas	sis for the rejection of	the claims
/Steven B. McAllister/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749	1		

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Salooja teaches away from the claimed invention because it is drawn to a method of combustion in a smaller scale than applicant's invention. However, the size or type of combustion chamber is not in the claims. To clarify, the examiner stated in the final rejection that Salooja col. 3 lines 26-31 is not a comparison of the dew point of the acid to the flue gas temperature, and applicant has not provided evidence Salooja considers it undesirable to reduce the acid concentration in the flue gas. May was cited to show that it was known in the art to monitor the dew point of the acid to determine the acid do concentration in the flue gas.